

(4)

(2)

CF 3001

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPURW.P.(S.) NO. 965 / 2007

- PETITIONERS :
- 1) Dilip Kumar Chandravanshi
S/o. Gajadhar Ram
Chandravanshi, 39 years
Assistant Teacher, Government Pre
Middle School, Sonpuri, Block
Kawardha, Distt. Kabirdham (C.G.)
 - 2) Sanjay Kumar Dubey
S/o- Ram Chand Dubey,
Assistant Teacher Government
Girls Higher Secondary School
Kawardha, Distt. Kabirdham (C.G.)
Ramnath Yogi,
S/o. Bhakunath Yogi,
Assistant Teacher Government
Girls Primary School, Pipariya
Distt. Kabirdham (C.G.)

1246107
Presented by Sanjay Kumar Dubey
dated 12/2/07

RECEIVED COPY
12/2/07
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

VERSUS

- RESPONDENTS :
- 1) State of Chhattisgarh
Through : The Secretary
School Education Department
Mantralaya Raipur (C.G.)
 - 2) Director, Public Instructions
Raipur Distt Raipur (C.G.)
 - 3) The Deputy Director, Public
Instructions Durg Division
Distt Durg (C.G.)
 - 4) Head Master
Government Pre Middle School
Sonpuri Block Kawardha Distt
Kabirdham (C.G.)
 - 5) Principal





Government Girls Higher
Secondary School Kawardha
Distt. Kabirdham (C.G.)

(6) Head Master

Government Girls Primary School
Pipariya Block Kawardha
Distt Kabirdham (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION U/A 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

1. Particulars of the Petitioner

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P.(S) No. 965 of 2007

Petitioner : Dilip Kumar Chandravanshi and
others

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri, J

Present: Mr. Prafull Bharat, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Satish Gupta, Deputy Government Advocate for
State/respondents.

ORDER

(Passed on this 20th Day of February, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the question of law involved in this petition is governed by the Circular dated 20-1-1987. The High Court of Madhya Pradesh in *Indraveer Singh Tomar vs. State of M.P. and others*¹ has considered the issue and disposed of the said matter in following terms:-

Para 2 "..... In view of this submission advanced by Counsel for parties, this petition is disposed of by giving following directions. The respondent No. 1 shall consider petitioner's representations referred to above and dispose of the same at an early date, preferably within a period of 3 months from the date of communication of this order in accordance with law, keeping in mind the circulars

(39)

issued by State Govt. as also the directions given
by the Tribunal in similar matters."

2. Learned counsel appearing for the parties would jointly submit and admit that this case, being similar in nature, is squarely covered by the decision of the Madhya Pradesh High Court in the case of Indraveer Singh Tomar (Supra).

3. In view of aforestated reasons, this petition is disposed of in the same terms. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

1 2004(2) M.P.H.T. 3(NOC)

Babu